

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



A DDI ICA TIONANA	·		Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	TRADEMA
09/689,992	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCUMENT	
	10/13/2000	Craig C. Mello	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
7590 11/15/2002 GARY L. CREASON Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804		Starg C. Micho	07917-105001 / UMMC 00-04	1020
			EXAMI	
			STRZELECKA	STRZELECKA, TERESA E
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637 DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002	14
			1113/2002	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/689,992	MELLO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Teresa E Strzelecka	4007
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum date of the maximum statutory.  Status	IN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	by be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	'2 Δugust 2002	
0-1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	WORDS SWEET FOR I	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 17-34</u> is/are pending in the		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13 and 23-34</u> is/s	are withdrawn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	are withdrawn from consideratio	n.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2002 is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to	o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	Soo 27 CED 4 05(-)
The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapi	proved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	xaminer.	
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority documen  Certified copies of the priority documents.	ts have been received.	
— and a spinor of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applica	ation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have been receing ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 110	Veu.
a) — The translation of the loreign language pro	Wisional application to a con-	_
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest tachment(s)	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	iry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office 0-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992

Art Unit: 1637

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office action is in response to an amendment filed on August 12, 2002.
- 2. Claims 1-16 were pending in the application, of which claims 1-13 were withdrawn from consideration. Claims 15 and 16 were cancelled, claim 14 was amended. Claims 17-34 were added. Of the newly added claims, claims 17-22 depend on claim 14, claim 23 is an independent claim and claims 24-34 depend on claim 23.
- 3. Newly submitted claims 23-34 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 23 is drawn to a method of inhibiting the activity of a gene in a cell, the method comprising:
  - introducing the RNAi pathway component into the cell, and
  - introducing a double-stranded RNA into the cell, wherein the dsRNA is targeted to the gene.

Therefore, the new claim 23 is not drawn to a species of claim 14, and would have been restricted into a separate group if it was presented in the original set of claims.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 23-34 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. Claims 1-13 are also withdrawn from consideration.

4. Claims 14 and 17-22 will be examined. This Office action is made non-final because of new grounds of rejections presented below.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 1637

#### Drawings

5. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on August 12, 2002 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(a)(6) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of SEQ ID NO: 13 for RDE-1 sequence in Figure 4B.

#### Specification

The amendment filed on August 12, 2002 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it 6. introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: new sequence listing was submitted, which "... corrects inadvertent errors that were made in the originally submitted sequence listing...". Applicants do not explain what the errors were and why the sequence listing had to be corrected. There is no evidence that a new CRF of the sequence listing was submitted with the paper copy.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 7.
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claims 14 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the 8. specification, while being enabling for inhibiting an activity of a gene by introducing an RNAi agent into the cell of C. elegans, does not reasonably provide enablement for inhibiting an activity of a gene by introducing an RNAi agent into the cell of any other organism. The specification does

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992

Art Unit: 1637

not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicants define an RNAi agent as a double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) molecule wich has been treated with those components of the RNAi pathway that are recognized to confer RNAi activity on the dsRNA. In the case of *C. elegans*, these components were determined to be RDE-1 and RDE-4 proteins (page 6, lines 14-17). An RNAi pathway component is defined as a protein or nucleic acid that is involved in promoting dsRNA-mediated interference (page 5, lines 9-12). In *C. elegans*, the components of the RNAi pathway were determined to be genes rde-1, rde-2, rde-3, rde-4, rde-5, mut-2 and mut-7 (page 2, lines 11-31).

Gene silencing using dsRNA has been observed in several organisms, such as fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* (Misquita et al., PNAS USA, vol. 96, pp. 1451-6, February 1999; Kennerdell et al., Cell, vol. 95, pp. 1017-26, December 1998; both cited in the IDS), metazoans (Sanchez Alvarado et al., PNAS USA, vol. 96, pp. 5049-54, April 1999; cited in the IDS), Trypanosoma brucei (Ngo et al., PNAS USA, vol. 95, pp. 14687-92, December 1998; cited in the IDS) and plants (Waterhouse et al., PNAS USA, vol. 95, pp. 13959-64, November 1998; cited in the IDS). However, no specific genes or gene products were identified which could be classified as "components of the RNAi pathway" in these organisms. In addition, there is in added complication arising in mammalian cells, as described by Montgomery et al. (Trends in Genetics, Vol. 14, pp. 255-8, July 1998; cited in the IDS). These cells exhibit a global antiviral response to dsRNA, in which the PKR protein kinase recognizes dsRNA and cause a non-specific response which results in general transcriptional arrest. "Any gene-specific interference by dsRNA in PKR-proficient mammalian cells would be dependent on a transient lapse in the PKR response, or on a controlled level of dsRNA that was incapable of activating PKR." (page 258, fourth paragraph).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992

Art Unit: 1637

Therefore, to create effective RNAi agents in organisms other that C. elegans one would have to determine which genes belong to the pathway in each of the organisms, then evaluate which ones are essential for promoting dsRNA-mediated interference, and, in mammalian cells, determine how to overcome the non-specific PKR-mediated response.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to determine all the components of the RNAi pathways in all organisms, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding determination of all the components of the RNAi pathways in all organisms, the absence of working examples directed to determination of all the components of the RNAi pathways in all organisms, the complex nature of the invention, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and use the claimed invention in its full scope.

9. No references were found teaching or suggesting claims 14 and 17-22, but they are jected for other reasons.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E Strzelecka whose telephone number is (703) 306-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached at (703) 308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

TS

November 13, 2002

75

Plentla Hall KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/13/02